G R. S 58
Previous references

1907.
(Municipal)

File No. 452-06
Serial Nos. 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, 13, 17

Later references
Mul 241-11 (1-3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, 13 & 17

Part B fo. December 1906

SUBJECT

Amount quota payable by the Municpl and Distct Boards towards the cost of the Local Fund Establt in the District and Taluk offices.

To the Presidents of Dt. Bds and Municpltis. No 9457-63 of 12-4-07. Enquires what portion of the Taluk clerks' pay may each Municplty be called upon to contribute.

To the Comptr. No 10179 of 2-5-07 Requests the submission of a revised statement showing the quota payable by each of the Municpl and Dist. Boards towards the cost of the establishments in the Presidents offices.

GPB -2,000—7-4-07

7. From the same officer. No 1022 of 20-5-07. Enquires in what proportion or proportions the pay of the clerks to be entertained for L.S. work in Talukes is to be debited to Dist & Municpl Funds

8. To the Comptr. No 113198 31-5-07
States that the above question has been referred to the President for opinion and requests him to expedite the submission of the statement called for.

11 To the Comptr. No 12402 29-6-07.
Requests him to expedite the submission of the statements called for above in S.L. No

13. From the Comptr. No 768 22-7-07
Submits the statement called for in S.L. No 1.

17. To the Comptr and Treasurers of Dk. & Municipal Bds
Issues instructions in the matter of the quota payable by each Municipality on account of the Presidents' office &c and calling from the Co. for a revised statement prepared in accordance with the same.

G.R.S 11] 1907

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal)

NOTES

File No 452-06

FILE HEADING

Annual quota payable by the Municipal and District Boards towards the cost of the Local Fund establishment in the District and the Taluk offices

From

— — — — — — —

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No	Enclos / Spare Copies.	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS

P

G.O.No.1133-44 of 16/3/07 Dev.(Mun.II)-452-06-2

In the recent Govt order, sanctioning an additional Local Fund Clerk on Rs 15 to each of the District and Taluk offices, the proportion in which the additional charge has to be apportioned between Municipal and Dist Funds has not been defined; and provision for this

NOTE.—First minute.

GPP 21,000 21-3-08

G [illegible] 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

Al File No 452 of 1906

Serial No

additional charge has to be made in the budgets for 1907-08

2 The pay of the new clerk sanctioned for the District office will have, it is submitted, to be distributed between the Municipal and the District Funds in the same proportions in which the charges of the existing establishment are being debited The quota of the cost of establishment payable by each Municipality as fixed in Govt order No 7431-9/Ml(209-04)-4 dt/23rd Feb 1905 now requires revision and the Comptroller may be asked to submit a revised statement for the approval of Govt.

* ½ in Kadur and Chitaldrug ⅔ in other Districts

3 As regards the pay of the clerk sanctioned for each of the Taluk offices, it is submitted that several Municipalities such as (1) Bangalore City (2) Mysore City (3) Tumkur (4) Seringapatam (5) Hassan (6) Shimoga (7) Tarikere (8) Chikmagalur (9) Bidar (10) Kadur (11) Koppa (12) Yedahally (13) Mudgere & (14) Sringeri (all vanishes a mamlatdar Special establishments for the Municipal work to be done therein and these latter cannot reasonably be called upon to bear a portion of the newly sanctioned clerk's pay The proportion in which the charge has to be debited

Why? What has the Spl Dy to do the work of Outside Taluk? in the city suburbs of Mysore, Special Dy do attend to any in the Taluk as he is invited by the from the Complr

23/7/07

Note—[illegible] check

[illegible]

G.B.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

IU File No 452 of 1906

Serial No	
	District Funds can be settled only in consultation with the Presidents of the Mofussil Boards. 4 Pending the issue of final orders on the question and the receipt of a revised Statement showing the quota payable by each Municipality towards the cost of establishment in the Presidents' Offices, the entire cost of the newly sanctioned clerks will be provided for in the District Fund Budgets only, subject to recovery of the Municipal quota by the Comptroller's Order dated 21/3/07 5 Submitted to the Commissioner for information before issue 2403/25-3-07 6 Draft approved 23/3/07 Issued 27 March 07 6/4/07
7	1 To the Presidents of the Mofussil and District Boards No 9457-63 of 12-4-07 Enquiring what portion of the Taluk clerks' pay may each Municipality be called upon to contribute.
8	2 To the Comptroller No 10179 of 2-5-07 Requesting the Submission of a revised Statement showing quota payable by each of the

NOTE— Cont. sheet

P1 10 10 141

G R S 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No M1462 of 1906

Serial No	
	District Boards towards the cost of the establishment in the Presidents' offices
9	7 (D7694) From the comptroller No 7/1000 dated 20 May 1907 With reference to Sl 2. enquires in what proportion or proportions the pay of the clerks to be entertained for local Fund work in Talukds Should be debited to District and Mund Funds
	10 Draft Subd for approval
	Done
	28/5/07
11	8 To the comptroller No 11319 dated 31st May 1907.
	States that the question of fixing the proportion in which the Taluk clerks' pay Should be debited to Mund and District Funds has been referred to the Presidents for opinion and requests him to expedite the Submission of the Statement called for in Sl 5 with a memo explaining in detail the method followed in apportioning the District office Local Fund charges among the Boards concerned

NOTE—Contn sheet

H 10000 18-1

G R S 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 452 of 1906

Serial No

Submitted

12 From a perusal of the correspondence on the Subject, it is seen that for working out the figures showing the contribution payable by the Municipalities towards the cost of the Local Fund establishment in the District offices, no definite instructions exist at present in regard to the proportions in which

(A) the charges are to be primarily apportioned between the Mul and Dist Funds

(B) the Mul quota has to be distributed among the Mults in each District

13 As regards (A) it is submitted that though the charges were in Govt order no 6982-90-L.R 3-97 of 6-12-98 (para 3) directed to be apportioned with due reference to the expenditure incurred from the District and Municipal Funds, the Govt were in concurrence with the opinion of the Presidents, pleased to sanction in their order no 722-30/L.R 3-97 dated 13th July 1899, the adoption of a Scale of proportions (for all Districts except My ms) fixed by the Comptroller tentatively for 5 years from 1899-00 to 1903-04 with reference to the income from Mul and District Funds in each District and of the proportions

Districts Mul Dist
dung ½ ½
Districts ⅓ ⅔

Comptroller's letter
No 2-99 mL 730 of 97 S(2)

Note—1 ntn sheet

G R.J. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

Ml File No. 452 of 1906

Serial No

case of the Mysore District as proposed by the President

14 Even after the expiry of the period of 5 years for which the proportion was fixed, and though subsequently 38 minor Municipalities were converted into Unions, the same proportion has continued to be adopted till now But the figures now worked out with reference to the receipts for 1905–06 show that except in the case of Kolar, the Scale fixed in the order of the 13th July 1899 requires no modification at present.

Districts	Municipal income	District Board income	Proportion
Bangalore	48,394	77,540	1/1.7 = ½
Kolar	57,286	78,467	1/1.4 = ½
Tumkur	49,502	88,147	1/1.8 = ½
Mysore	59,019	140,770	1/2.4 = ½
Hassan	38,120	84,416	1/2.2 = ½
Shimoga	42,748	86,667	1/2.0 = ½
Kadur	51,829	55,621	1/1.0 = 1/1
Chitaldrug	43,180	61,361	1/1.4 = 1/1

15 Orders are solicited as to whether the Scale of proportions as originally sanctioned in the order of the 13th July 1899 may continue to be adopted till the question of the Constitution of the Municipalities under the new Municipal Regulations has before Govt is finally settled

G R 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 452-06 of 190

Serial No

16 As regards (B) it is submitted that the method pursued by the Comptroller in arriving at the figures showing the quota payable by each Municipality was not enquired into in detail by this office. But it is understood that the direction contained in para 3 of Govt order No 6982-90/L73-97/6-12-98 viz "The cost of the establishments ---- would be apportioned with due reference to the expenditure incurred from the District and Municipal Funds" has been interpreted in the Comptroller's office as authorizing the apportionment of the Municipal quota in each District among the concerned Municipalities in proportion to the expenditure incurred therein.

17. The above interpretation does not seem to be correct inasmuch as the intention of Govt was simply to direct how the charges were to be apportioned primarily between the Municipal and District Funds A perusal of the office note in this connection is respectfully solicited

18 The rule generally followed by this office in connection with the distribution of Municipal quota among the concerned Municipalities is that the charges [illegible]

Note—Continued sheet

G R S 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 452 of 1906

Serial No	
	in proportion to the receipts of the concerned Municipalities and a perusal of the following orders is requested in this connection.
	1) No 22245-7 / L7 386-92 dated 21-6-94 To Comptroller, & Dy. Comr & Presdt of Munis Mysore Dist. — Directs that the cost of the increased establishment should be apportioned in proportion to the receipts of the concerned Munis
	2) No 18133 / L7 26-94 of 24-4-96 To the Comptroller — Directs that the Sum of Rs 130 being a portion of the cost of the Mysore Dist office establishment payable by the French Rock Sub Division should be apportioned among the Munis in proportion to their collections receipts
	3) 2985-4 / L7 386-92 of 31-8-96 To the Comptroller and Presdt Mysore Dist. — Approves of the Presdt's proposal to meet the charges of the Munl establishment maintained in the District office thereof borne by the Mysore City Munl and now relieved from the Savings of the budget of the minor Munis in proportion to their receipts
	4) 602-20 / L7 12-92 of 15-7-92 To Comptroller, and Presdts of Munl & Sub Bds — Directs that the cost of the establishment (and furniture) Sanctioned for the audit of Munl accounts in the Comptroller's office, be debited to the Munl Funds in proportion to the receipts of each Munl

Note Contra sheet

G P S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

M File No 452 of 1906

Serial No		
	12302-11 of 25-1-02 L 7171-97 To Comptroller etc	Directing the adjustment of the cost of Stationery articles supplied to the Mufussil Dist Boards. (Vide entries in Sl in para 2)
	Minor Munsits Bangalore Dist Rs 20-0-11	"To be debited to the Several Munsits in proportion to their receipts..."
	Minor Munsits Mysore Dist 16-2-7	"To be debited to the Several Munsits in the Mysore Distrct in proportion to receipts"

1. Orders are solicited as to whether the existing practice of apportioning the charges in proportion to the receipts of the Concerned Munsits may be directed to be followed in the preparation of the Statement now called for from the Comptroller in (1) No 8 above)

14/6/07

2. This shd be kept up when the statement called for from the Comptr is recd.

20/6/07

2 Subd. The Comptroller has not yet submitted the Statement, though it is 3 weeks since he was asked to expedite the same. A—

Note—Contn sheet

G F S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

M File No 452 of 1906

Serial No	
	A draft reminder is Subd for approval. 22 Lewd the reminder issue after a week - 23/6/07
23	
23 11	To the Comptroller No 12402 of 29-6-07 7/3-7-07 Requests him to expedite the Submission of the Statement Showing the quota payable by each of the Municts on account of the cost of the Presidents' Police Establishments.
13	(D 595) From the Comptroller No 76 of 22-7-07 Submits the Statement called for vide 11.
	24 The Comptroller States that the charges have been debited in the proportion fixed in Govt order No 722-30/L&R 3-97 dated 13th July 1899 and distributed among the Several Municts in the proportion of their average expenditure for the last 3 years
	25. As regards the proportions in which the charges are to be debited as between Mund and District Funds, it is Submitted that Govt in their order of the 13th July 1899 approved of the Scale fixed by the Comptroller tentatively for 5 years from 1899-00 with reference to the income from Mun...

except for the Mysore Distt for which a proportion of 1/3 Mu 2/3 Distt was sanctioned

NOTE—Contn sheet

G P S—10,000—18-1-06

Serial No.

District Funds and as already noted in paras 13 & 14 supra, the scale ~~originally fixed in Govt order of the 13th July 1892~~ requires modification in respect of the Kolar District only where the proportion now stands as ½ Munl. ½ Dist. Funds against ⅓ Munl: ⅔ District Funds as originally fixed.

26 With regard to the Comptroller's explanation that the Munl quota are distributed among the concerned Munlts in proportion to the average expenditure for the past 3 years, it is submitted that as already noted in paras 16 to 18 supra the Govt have invariably directed that such and other similar charges be apportioned in proportion to the income of the Munlts concerned.

Please see also para 3 of Govt order no 10972-7/MI(43-06) dt 25-5-1907

27 Moreover in the Statement furnished by the Comptroller, the quota payable on account of pay of the establishment in the Mysore District is given as Rs 760 whilst it should be Rs 758 only. The mistake is due to the fact that ¾ of the difference viz Rs 30 between the monthly maximum and minimum cost

G.P.B—50,000—23-3-07

G R. S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

M File No. 152 of 1906

Serial No.

has been assumed to be Rs 23 while it is only Rs 22½ and this accounts for the difference of ⅓ x 12 x (23-22½) Rs or Rs 2 in the annual municipal quotas.

28. Again the charges in the Mysore District have been distributed among only 17 Munsifs omitting Saligram which during the two years 1904-05 and 1905-06 only remained a Union under the Local Boards Regulations and is now a municipality again.

29. The figures in the Statement therefore require revision and definite instructions are solicited in the following particulars (Please also see paras 15 and 19 supra)

(1) In the apportionment of the charges primarily between the Mufassal and District funds whether the proportions fixed in the Govt order of the 13th July 1899 may continue to be adopted until further orders

(2) Whether the Mufassal quota should be distributed among the Munsifs in proportion to their income, or in proportion to their expenditure as directed by Govt as has been done by the Commission

(3) How many and of what particular years' average should be taken in such cases for distributing the charges among the Munsifs.

I think this may continue
19/9.

This should be in proportion to the income of each municipality.

7 years average as per Rules.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—30,000—25-1-07

[T. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 452 of 1906

Serial No.

and (4) whether the quota payable by ~~average for Salisbury~~ may be calculated with reference to the amount ~~from the accounts without any regard as to whether it was a Municipality or~~ Yes No

X Invoices of 3 previous years and the statement of the Umgusa District passed with reference thereto

25/7/07 30 Please send to Branch II for favour of note as this is a case of adjustment

30/7/07

H. Kiss No 138

31/ Submitted.
K.S.
30/7/07.

7.8.07.
32

Output C.O. no 8333-44
L.B. 422-0512

16-3-7

Please see paper flagged P.

3.3 Br. II
K.S.
7.8.07

(1) With ref to the average income of Umgusa during the previous 3 or 5 years a fresh calculation of the proportion in which the District and Municipal funds

Note—Use this sheet

GPB—m/co—m.s.s.